

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	16 December 1999
Name of applicant/court:	The District Court at Christchurch
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Untitled
Other Known Titles:	DIA Exhibit #35
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Computer Printout
Country of Origin:	Not Applicable
Language:	Not Applicable

.....
Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The untitled computer printout also known as *DIA Exhibit #35* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The computer printout is one of a total of 12 computer printouts and 18 computer image files which were submitted together for the purposes of classification. The computer printout comprises an A4 sheet of white paper containing two colour photographic images. One of the images depicts a male ejaculating over the face of another male who is aged in his mid-teens. The second image depicts an upper body shot of a naked boy aged about 10 years.

The computer printout promotes and supports the exploitation of children and young persons for sexual purposes, deeming the publication objectionable under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act). The Classification Office considers that given the characteristics of the publication, the main purpose of the computer printout is the titillation or sexual arousal of adults with a sexual interest in children or young persons.

Referring to New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 issues, the application of s3(2) of the FVPC Act deems the publication objectionable. The limit on freedom of expression resulting from the classification is demonstrably justified and prescribed by law.

OFLC Ref: 9901763